

**TMA947/MMG621**  
**NONLINEAR OPTIMISATION**

**Date:** 22-01-04  
**Time:** 8<sup>30</sup>-13<sup>30</sup>  
**Aids:** Text memory-less calculator, English-Swedish dictionary  
**Number of questions:** 7; a passed question requires 2 points of 3.  
Questions are *not* numbered by difficulty.  
To pass requires 10 points and three passed questions.

**Examiner:** Ann-Brith Strömberg, Emil Gustavsson (070 290 83 00)

**Exam instructions**

**When you answer the questions**

*Use generally valid theory and methods.  
State your methodology carefully.*

*Only write on one page of each sheet. Do not use a red pen.  
Do not answer more than one question per page.*

### Question 1

(the simplex method)

Consider the following linear program:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & z = x_1 + 2x_2, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \geq -1, \\ & x_1 - x_2 \geq 1, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (2p) a) Solve the problem using phase I and phase II of the simplex method.

Aid: You may utilize the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (1p) b) If an optimal solution exists, then use your calculations to decide whether it is unique or not. If the problem is unbounded, then use your calculations to specify a direction of unboundedness of the objective value.
- 

### (3p) Question 2

(Lagrangian duality and convexity)

Consider the problem to find

$$\begin{aligned} f^* = \text{infimum} \quad & (x_1 - 1)^2 - 2x_2, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_1 - 2x_2 \geq -2, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{C}$$

Lagrangian relax the constraint (C), and evaluate the dual function  $q$  at  $\mu = 0$  and  $\mu = 2$ . Provide a bounded interval containing  $f^*$ .

---

**(3p) Question 3**

(modelling)

The *set covering problem* is a classical question in combinatorics, computer science and complexity theory. Given a set of elements  $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (called the universe) and a collection  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $m$  sets whose union equals the universe, the *set cover problem* is the problem to identify the smallest sub-collection of  $\mathcal{S}$  whose union equals the universe.

For example, consider the universe  $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and the collection of sets  $\mathcal{S} = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{4, 5\}\}$ . Clearly the union of  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ . However, we can cover all of the elements with the following, smaller number of sets:  $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}$ . This is also the smallest sub-collection whose union is  $\mathcal{U}$ .

A generalization of this problem is the *weighted set covering problem* where each set in  $\mathcal{S}$  has a cost associated with it. The objective in the *weighted set covering problem* is to find a sub-collection of  $\mathcal{S}$  whose union equals the universe, and so that the sum of the costs of the sets in the sub-collection is minimized.

Formulate an integer linear program (a linear objective function, linear constraints, and integrality restrictions on the variables) which models the weighted set covering problem.

---

**Question 4**

(True or False)

The below three claims should be assessed. For each claim: state whether it is true or false. Provide an answer together with a short but complete motivation.

- (1p)** a) Suppose the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .  
*Claim:* for the vector  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to be a descent direction with respect to  $f$  at  $\mathbf{x}$  it is necessary that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{p} < 0$ .
- (1p)** b) *Claim:* For the phase I (when a BFS is *not* known a priori) problem of the simplex algorithm, the optimal value is always zero.
- (1p)** c) Consider a convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ .  
*Claim:* If  $f$  is differentiable at a point  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the identity  $\partial f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \{\nabla f(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\}$  holds.
-

### Question 5

(unconstrained optimization)

Consider the unconstrained problem to minimize the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 - x_2^2 + 2x_1$$

- (1p) a) Start in  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0, 0)^T$  and perform two iterations with the steepest descent method using the step length  $\alpha_k = 1$  in each iteration. Is the point reached an optimal solution?
- (2p) b) Start in  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (0, 0)^T$  and perform two iterations with the Newton method using the Levenberg-Marquardt modification with  $\gamma = 3$ . Use step length  $\alpha_k = 1$  in each iteration. Is the point reached an optimal solution?
- 

### Question 6

(Karush-Kuhn-Tucker)

Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & f(\mathbf{x}) := -(x_1 - 3)^2 - (x_2 - 1)^2, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \leq 5, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (1p) a) State the KKT-conditions for the problem and verify that they are necessary.
- (2p) b) Find all KKT-points, both graphically and analytically. What is the global optimum?
-

(3p) **Question 7**

(Farkas' lemma)

Farkas' Lemma can be states as follows:

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be any  $m \times n$  matrix and  $\mathbf{b}$  an  $m \times 1$  vector. Then exactly one of the two systems

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{x} &\geq \mathbf{0}^n,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{y} &\leq \mathbf{0}^m, \\ \mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{y} &> 0,\end{aligned}$$

has a feasible solution, and the other system is inconsistent.

Prove Farkas' Lemma.

---