# CHALMERS — GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET

# EXAM IN CRYPTOGRAPHY

TDA352 (Chalmers) - DIT250 (GU)

15 January 2021, 08:30 - 12.30

Answers must be given in *English* and should be clearly justified.

**Teacher/Examiner:** Katerina Mitrokotsa **Questions during exam:** Katerina Mitrokotsa, phone 031 772 1040

The exam is divided in four main topics and the total number of points is 50). The grades are:

CTH Grades:  $22-30 \rightarrow 3$   $31-39 \rightarrow 4$   $40-50 \rightarrow 5$ GU Grades:  $22-39 \rightarrow G$   $40-50 \rightarrow VG$ 

#### **Good luck!**

#### **1** Symmetric Ciphers (15 p)

(a) Let us consider the following symmetric cipher:

$$E(k,m_0) = E(k,m_{00}||m_{01}) = E(k \oplus m_{00})||m_{01}$$

where  $m_{00}$  and  $m_{01}$  denote the first and second bit of a message  $m_0$ . Prove that this symmetric cipher is not semantically secure. (4 p)

Hint: Use a security game and describe a successful strategy of the attacker.

- (b) Does the One Time Pad (OTP) provide integrity? Describe with an example why integrity cannot be guaranteed when using OTP to encrypt and decrypt messages. (2 p)
- (c) You are using the CTR mode of operation to encrypt a message and the IV has length 256 bits while the nonce has length 128 bits. How many blocks can you encrypt with one nonce to guarantee security (*i.e.*, a message will not be encrypted using the same IV)? (2 p)

*Hint:* We consider that the IV is composed of two parts a nonce (random number used only once) that has length 128 bits and a counter that has length 128 bits.

(d) Bob is using a block cipher *E* where both the block size and the key size are 64 bits. Since he considers the key size to be short, he uses a variant of this block cipher by incorporating two keys in the cipher. More precisely, to encrypt a message *m* two keys  $(k_0, k_1)$  are used as follows:

$$c = E_{k_0}(m) \oplus k_1$$

Suppose that an adversary gets access to two plaintext/ciphertext pairs (i.e., (m,c) and (m',c')) and is able to perform a brute-force attack on the original block cipher *E* and recover the key in a known plaintext attack.

- (a) Show that the adversary can also break Bob's "improved" cipher and recover his extended key. (2 p)
- (b) Does the attack against the "improved" cipher require much more effort than an attack against the block cipher *E*? Explain why. (2 p)
- (e) *n* different entities (*i.e.*, persons) need to communicate with each other using *secret key cryptography*. How many keys are needed to guarantee *confidentiality* among all parties? Would it be possible to achieve *non-repudiation* in this setting? (3 p)

### **2 Public Key Encryption (10 p)**

- (a) Alice is using textbook RSA to encrypt and decrypt messages and send them to Bob. Eve realises that textbook RSA is used and performs a successful IND-CCA attack. Show what is the strategy that Eve will follow to win the security game for an IND-CCA attack. (4 p)
- (b) We consider double RSA encryption using a common modulus N and two public keys  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  with corresponding private keys. Thus, a message m is encrypted first using RSA encryption with the key  $e_1$ ; the result is encrypted again using key  $e_2$ . Explain why this approach does not increase security. (3 p)
- (c) You are working in a company and you are responsible for the *confidentiality* of some files that need to be shared with different clients (one file for each client) *i.e.*, only one client should be able to decrypt the corresponding file. You plan to use an encryption algorithm to encrypt the files. Would you use a *symmetric key encryption* or a *public key encryption* algorithm? Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each choice. (3 p)

#### **3** Data Integrity (14 p)

(a) Bob has received from Alice two signed documents  $(m_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(m_2, \sigma_2)$  computed via the textbook RSA signature scheme. Show that Bob can compute a valid signature for a new message using the messages  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and the corresponding signatures  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ .

*Hint:* Describe a successful existential forgery. Use a security game and a successful strategy of the attacker. (4 p)

- (b) Describe how the above existential forgery can be avoided and give a complete definition of the signature scheme (*i.e.*, the key generation, the signing and the verification algorithm) that avoids this forgery. (4 p)
- (c) Explain in simple words what does the birthday paradox state and how it affects the security of a hash function, i.e., what is the security level provided for a hash function with output *n* bits. (2 p)
- (d) You are given a MAC that is produced using a hash function that follows the Merkle-Damgard construction such that MAC(m) = H(k||m||p) where k denotes the secret key shared between the sender and the recipient and p padding. Is this MAC construction secure against existential forgeries? Prove it using a security game. (4 p)

## 4 Cryptographic Protocols (11 p)

- (a) Suppose that Alice has a secret value a = 2 and Bob has a secret value b = 4. Describe how Alice and Bob may establish a secret key using the Diffie-Hellman protocol using the group  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{13}$  and the generator g = 6. (2 p)
- (b) Describe how Eve may perform a man-in-the-middle attack against the Diffie-Hellman exchange protocol used above. (2 p)
- (c) Assume that we have three parties  $P_1, P_2$  and  $P_3$  and that we tolerate t = 1 corrupted parties. Assume that we work in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$  and each of the parties have a secret value a = 4, b = 3 and c = 2 correspondingly. The three parties want to compute the sum  $\sigma = a + b + c$  while keeping their corresponding value secret.

Using Shamir's secret sharing show how to calculate the sharing of a, b, c and of their sum  $\sigma$ . More precisely if we denote by  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  the shares of the secret value a and we denote similarly the shares of b, c and  $\sigma$ . Then:

(i) Show how  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  can compute the sum  $\sigma = a + b + c$ , without disclosing the values *a*, *b* and *c*. (3 p)

*Hint:* Fill in the following table:

	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$
a = 2	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$
b = 4	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$
c = 1	<i>c</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>c</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>c</i> <sub>3</sub>
$\sigma = a + b + c$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_3$

Can P1 and P2

(ii) Consider that  $P_3$  decides not to collaborate with  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Can  $P_1$  and  $P_3$  still compute the sum  $\sigma$ ? If yes, justify why and show how. (4 p)