

**Suggested solutions for
MVE550 Stochastic Processes and Bayesian Inference
Exam January 18 2020**

1. (a) We get

$$\pi(\theta | x) \propto_{\theta} \pi(x | \theta)\pi(\theta) \propto_{\theta} \theta^2 x \exp(-\theta x)\theta^{-1} = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$$

- (b) By comparing with a Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = \theta$, we get that

$$\theta | x \sim \text{Gamma}(2, x)$$

- (c) We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi(\theta | x_1 = 2.1, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 3.9) \\ & \propto_{\theta} \pi(x_1 = 2.1 | \theta)\pi(x_2 = 1.2 | \theta)\pi(x_3 = 3.9 | \theta)\pi(\theta) \\ & \propto_{\theta} \theta^2 \exp(-2.1\theta)\theta^2 \exp(-1.2\theta)\theta^2 \exp(-3.9\theta)\theta^{-1} \\ & = \theta^5 \exp(-7.2\theta) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing with a Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha = 8$ and $\beta = 7.2$, we get that

$$\theta | x_1 = 2.1, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 3.9 \sim \text{Gamma}(6, 7.2).$$

Another way to obtain this result is to observe that the Gamma family of densities is conjugate to the presented likelihood: If the prior is $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ then the posterior becomes $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + 2, \beta + x)$. With the prior corresponding to the improper distribution $\text{Gamma}(0, 0)$ the posterior becomes

$$\text{Gamma}(0 + 2 + 2 + 2, 0 + 2.1 + 1.2 + 3.9) = \text{Gamma}(6, 7.2).$$

2. (a) Consider a graph where the nodes correspond to the 49 squares of the game. Two nodes are connected with an edge if the corresponding squares are adjacent. As one moves to any of the adjacent squares with equal probability, this move corresponds to a random walk along the graph.
- (b) In the graph, we can count that there are 25 nodes with degree 4, 20 nodes with degree 3, and 4 nodes with degree 2. So the sum of all degrees is $25 \cdot 4 + 20 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 2 = 168$. Thus, in the stationary distribution, the probability of being at the central square is $4/168 = 1/42$. This means that the expected return time to the central square is 42.

3. (a) The expected size of the offspring distribution is

$$3\frac{4}{13} + 2\frac{4}{13} = \frac{20}{13} > 1$$

so the process is supercritical.

- (b) We get

$$E(Z_n) = \left(\frac{20}{13}\right)^n.$$

- (c) The probability generating function is

$$G(s) = \frac{4}{13}s^3 + \frac{4}{13}s^2 + \frac{5}{13}.$$

- (d) The probability of extinction is the smallest positive root of $G(s) = s$, i.e., the smallest positive root of

$$\frac{4}{13}s^3 + \frac{4}{13}s^2 + \frac{5}{13} = s$$

Multiplying by 13 and noting that 1 is necessarily a root of this equation, as we always have $G(1) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4s^3 + 4s^2 - s + 5 &= 0 \\ (s-1)(4s^2 + 8s - 5) &= 0 \\ (s-1)(2s-1)(2s+5) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we go from the first to the second line by polynomial division and from the second to the third for example by finding the roots of the 2nd degree polynomial $4s^2 + 8s - 5$. We see that the roots are 1, 1/2, and $-5/2$, so the smallest positive root, and thus the extinction probability, is 1/2.

4. The Ising model consists of a grid of variables σ_i , each of which have the possible values +1 and -1. Two variables σ_i and σ_j are *neighbours* if i and j are *neighbours* in the grid, denoted $i \sim j$. A probability density is defined on the set $\sigma = \{\sigma_i\}$ by writing

$$\pi(\sigma) \propto_{\sigma} \exp\left(\beta \sum_{i \sim j} \sigma_i \sigma_j\right)$$

where the sum runs over all pairs of neighbours i and j .

Gibbs sampling can be used to generate an (approximate) sample from this density in the following way: Starting with some arbitrary value on σ , one goes through all the components of σ , simulating each σ_i using the conditional density $\pi(\sigma_i | \sigma_{-i})$, where σ_{-i} denotes the all components of σ except i . This is repeated until reasonable convergence.

As σ_i has only two possible values, the conditional distribution can be found by computing

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\sigma_i = 1 \mid \sigma_{-i}) &= \frac{\pi(\sigma_i = 1, \sigma_{-i})}{\pi(\sigma_i = 1, \sigma_{-i}) + \pi(\sigma_i = -1, \sigma_{-i})} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\beta \sum_{i \sim j} 1 \cdot \sigma_j)}{\exp(\beta \sum_{i \sim j} 1 \cdot \sigma_j) + \exp(\beta \sum_{i \sim j} (-1) \cdot \sigma_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2\beta \sum_{i \sim j} \sigma_j)}.\end{aligned}$$

5. (a) States 1 and 2 are in one communication class, while state 3 is in a separate communication class. States 1 and 2 are transient, as there is a positive probability of never returning to these states, while state 3 is absorbing, and thus recurrent.

(b) The transition matrix is

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/6 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and thus the fundamental matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}F &= (I - Q)^{-1} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/3 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 3/2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

If the chain starts in 1, the expected number of visits to state 2 until absorption is 2.

6. (a) We get

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & -2 & 1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & -1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 & -1/4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) From the description, we see that all states are in the same communication class, and there is no absorbing state. Thus the chain is irreducible, and as such it has a unique stationary distribution which is the limiting distribution.
- (c) If we draw the transition graph for this Markov chain, we can see that it is a tree. This implies that it is time reversible.
- (d) In order for ν to be a stationary distribution, we need that $\nu Q = 0$ and also that the sum of the components of ν is 1. The five equations corresponding to $\nu Q = 0$ will be dependent, so we can remove one of these. Thus, for example disregarding the

fourth column of Q , the following 5 equations need to be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5 &= 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2 &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}v_1 - 2v_2 + \frac{1}{3}v_3 + v_4 &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}v_2 - \frac{1}{3}v_3 &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}v_4 - \frac{1}{4}v_5 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, substituting in $v_1 = v_2$, $v_3 = \frac{3}{2}v_2$, and $v_5 = 2v_4$ we get two equations in v_2 and v_4 :

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 + v_2 + \frac{3}{2}v_2 + v_4 + 2v_4 &= 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}v_2 - 2v_2 + \frac{1}{2}v_2 + v_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which gives $v_2 = v_4 = \frac{2}{13}$ and the full solution

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5) = \frac{1}{13}(2, 2, 3, 2, 4).$$

7. (a) $\{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ needs to be a stochastic process satisfying:

- $B_0 = 0$.
- $B_t - B_s \sim \text{Normal}(0, t - s)$ for all $s < t$.
- $B_t - B_s$ is independent from $B_r - B_q$ whenever $s < t \leq q < r$.
- $t \mapsto B_t$ is a continuous map with probability 1.

(b) We can for example write

$$X_1 + X_2 = bB_a + bB_{2a} = bB_a + b(B_{2a} - B_a + B_a) = 2bB_a + b(B_{2a} - B_a).$$

As $B_a \sim \text{Normal}(0, a)$ and, independently, $B_{2a} - B_a \sim \text{Normal}(0, a)$, we get that $X_1 + X_2$ is normally distributed with expectation $E(bB_a + bB_{2a}) = 0$ and variance

$$\text{Var}(2bB_a + b(B_{2a} - B_a)) = 4b^2 \text{Var}(B_a) + b^2 \text{Var}(B_{2a} - B_a) = 4b^2 a + b^2 a.$$

Thus,

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5b^2 a).$$

(c) To obtain $X_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, t)$ for all t , we need that

$$t = \text{Var}(X_t) = \text{Var}(bB_{at}) = b^2 at$$

so we must have $b = 1/\sqrt{a}$. But if this holds, X_t is indeed Brownian motion:

- $X_0 = B_{0a} / \sqrt{a} = B_0 / \sqrt{a} = 0$.
- $X_t - X_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} (B_{at} - B_{as})$. But $B_{at} - B_{as} \sim \text{Normal}(0, at - as)$, so

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} (B_{at} - B_{as}) \sim \text{Normal}\left(0, \frac{at - as}{a}\right) = \text{Normal}(0, t - s)$$

- Assuming $s < t \leq q < r$, we have that

$$X_t - X_s = b(B_{at} - B_{as})$$

and

$$X_r - X_q = b(B_{ar} - B_{aq})$$

Using that $as < at \leq aq < ar$ and the fact that the Brownian motion has independent increments, we get that X_t also has independent increments.

- The map $t \mapsto bB_{at}$ is continuous with probability 1, as it is a composition of the map $t \mapsto B_t$, which is continuous with probability 1, and functions multiplying with a and b .