Introduction to Real-Time Systems — Let 627

Final exam, June 3, 2019 at 14:00 – 18:00 in the Saga building

Examiner:

Professor Jan Jonsson, Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Responsible teacher:

Jan Jonsson, phone: 031-772 5220

Visits the exam at 15:00, and then at several occasions.

Aids permitted during the exam:

J. Nordlander, Programming with the TinyTimber kernel

Chalmers-approved calculator

Electronic dictionaries may <u>not</u> be used.

Content:

The written exam consists of 8 pages (including cover, list of equations and hand-in sheet), containing 7 problems worth a total of 60 points.

Grading policy:

```
24-35 points \Rightarrow grade 3 36-47 points \Rightarrow grade 4 48-60 points \Rightarrow grade 5
```

Results:

When the grading is completed overall result statistics, and a time and location for inspection, will be announced on the course home page. Individual results will be available in Ladok.

Language:

Your solutions can be written in Swedish or English.

IMPORTANT ISSUES

- 1. Use separate sheets for each answered problem, and mark each sheet with the problem number.
- 2. Justify all answers. Lack of justification can lead to loss of credit even if the answer might be correct.
- 3. Explain all calculations thoroughly. If justification and method is correct then simple calculation mistakes do not necessarily lead to loss of credit.
- 4. If some assumptions in a problem are missing or you consider that the made assumptions are unclear, then please state explicitly which assumptions you make in order to find a solution.
- 5. Write clearly! If we cannot read your solution, we will assume that it is wrong.
- 6. A hand-in sheet is available at the end of the exam script. Do not forget to submit it together with your other solution sheets!

GOOD LUCK!

State whether the following propositions are True or False. Each correct statement will give 0.5 points; each erroneous statement will give -0.5 points; an omitted statement gives 0 points. Although a motivation for a correct answer is not required, a convincing one gives another 0.5 points, while an erroneous/weak one gives another -0.5 points. Quality guarantee: The total result for this problem cannot be less than 0 points. (6 points)

- a) A necessary schedulability condition for periodic tasks in a single-processor system is that the total utilization of the tasks is not larger than 1.
- b) Hard real-time guarantee cannot be provided for systems with *sporadic tasks* since the inter-arrival time of consecutive instances of the tasks is not strictly periodic.
- c) For an NP-complete problem to have *pseudo-polynomial* time complexity the largest number in the problem must be bounded by the input length (size) of the problem.
- d) The RMFF scheduling approach has a utilization guarantee bound that converges towards 33% as the number of processors become very large.
- e) Disabling processor interrupts is a machine-level technique that is generally used to implement *mutual* exclusion on multiprocessor systems.
- f) If a given task set is known to be not schedulable, a *necessary* feasibility test will always report the answer "no" when applied to that task set.

PROBLEM 2

In real-time systems that employ concurrent execution of multiple tasks with shared resources there is a potential risk that deadlock may occur.

a) State the four conditions for deadlock to occur in such systems.

- (4 points)
- b) If tasks are assigned static priorities it is possible to avoid deadlock by using a run-time protocol that supports *ceiling priorities*. Describe the basic idea of a priority ceiling protocol. (4 points)

PROBLEM 3

Most scheduling analysis techniques assume the worst-case execution time (WCET) to model the computational demand of a real-time task. One of the earliest methods for WCET analysis was presented by Shaw in the end of the 1980s.

Assume that the function main (see below) is used as part of a real-time program and that the function, when called, is allowed to take at most 90 μ s to execute.

- Each declaration and assignment statement costs 1 μ s to execute.
- \bullet Each function call costs 2 μs plus WCET for the function in question.
- Each evaluation of the logical condition in an if- or while-statement costs 2 μ s.
- Each add and subtract operation costs 3 μ s.
- Each multiply operation costs 5 μ s.
- Each return statement costs 2 μ s.
- All other language constructs can be assumed to take 0 μ s to execute.

```
int times(int a, int b) {
    return a * b;
int methA(int a, int b) {
    int p;
    int i;
    p = a;
    i = 1;
    if (b == 0)
        return 1;
    while (i < b) {
        p = times(p, a);
        i = i+1;
    }
    return p;
}
int methB(int a, int b) {
    if (b == 1)
        return a;
    else
        return times(a, methB(a, b-1));
}
int main() {
    char ans;
    int x;
    int y;
    x = 2;
    y = 3;
    if (methA(x, y) > methB(x, y)) {
        ans = T';
        x = x + y;
    }
    else
        ans = 'F';
    return 1;
}
```

- a) Derive WCET for function main by using Shaw's method and determine whether or not the deadline of the function $(90 \ \mu s)$ will be met. $(8 \ points)$
- b) Identify two different false paths in the program given above.

(2 points)

The TinyTimber kernel makes it possible to implement periodic activities in a C program. Consider a real-time system with four independent periodic tasks: three hard-real-time tasks (T1, T2, T3), and one soft-real-time background task BG.

The three hard-real-time tasks all arrive at t=0 and have a common period of 2400 μ s, but their execution is precedence constrained in the following way:

- First, task T1 should execute for 300 \(\mu \text{s}\). The relative deadline for task T1 is 1600\(\mu \text{s}\).
- Then, task T2 should execute for 800 μ s. The relative deadline for task T2 is 1200μ s.
- Finally, task T3 should execute for 500 \(\mu s\). The relative deadline for task T3 is 2100\(\mu s\).

The soft-real-time background task BG arrives at time t=0, has a period of 1800 μ s, and at each invocation executes for 700 μ s. The relative deadline for the background task is equal to its period.

- a) Construct a TinyTimber program with four methods T1(), T2(), T3(), and BG() that have the same timing behavior as the corresponding tasks mentioned above. On a separate sheet at the end of this exam paper you find a C-code template. Add the missing program code directly on that sheet and hand it in for grading together with the rest of your solutions. The code for the functions Action300(), Action800(), Action500(), and Load700() is assumed to already exist. (5 points)
- b) Assuming that the TinyTimber kernel will be used to schedule the four tasks, is it possible to guarantee that tasks T1, T2, T3 will meet their deadlines? Motivate your answer. Any timing overhead relating to function calls or the TinyTimber runtime system can be ignored. (3 points)

Consider a real-time system in a control application, with three independent periodic tasks. The table below shows C_i (WCET) and T_i (period) for the three tasks. The relative deadline of each periodic task is equal to its period. All tasks arrive at time t = 0. The tasks are allowed to preeempt each other.

	C_i	T_i
$ au_1$	2	5
$ au_2$	4	13
τ_3	6	29

- a) Assume a run-time system that employs preemptive single-processor scheduling using the rate-monotonic (RM) priority-assignment approach. Use a suitable analysis method to show that all deadlines of the tasks are met. (3 points)
- b) Now, assume a run-time system with a cyclic executive that uses a time table. In order to generate a compact cyclic time table (that is, with as few task instances per cycle as possible) for the task set it would be preferred to be able to adjust one or more of the task periods. Luckily, the control properties of the application allows the periods of tasks τ_2 and τ_3 to deviate at most ± 3 time units from the original value given in the table above. The control properties of the application also dictate that the execution of task τ_1 must not experience any jitter. Therefore, the period of task τ_1 cannot be changed, and the task must always execute as soon as it arrives.

Choose a suitable version of the task set given above (possibly modifying the period for tasks τ_2 and/or τ_3 within the given bounds), and construct a compact cyclic time table for the execution of the task set version. Make sure that the chosen task set version is still schedulable if the original periods are being modified. Your solution should clearly indicate the start and stop times for each task instance (or task segment, if a task is preempted). In addition, the total length of your time table (in time units) should be given. (7 points)

PROBLEM 6

Consider a real-time system with three independent periodic tasks and a run-time system that employs preemptive single-processor scheduling using the earliest-deadline-first (EDF) priority-assignment approach. The table below shows C_i (WCET), D_i (relative deadline) and T_i (period) for the three tasks. For each task τ_i it applies that $C_i \leq D_i \leq T_i$. All tasks arrive at time t = 0.

	C_i	D_i	T_i
$ au_1$	4	5	10
τ_2	2	D_2	20
$ au_3$	4	25	40

Apply processor-demand analysis to determine the smallest positive integer value of D_2 for which all the tasks meet their deadlines. (8 points)

Consider a real-time system with n independent periodic tasks that should be scheduled on a multi-processor system, using preemptive static-priority scheduling. Global scheduling is one approach for scheduling tasks on such a system.

- a) Explain the meaning of *Dhall's effect* in the context of global scheduling. (2 points)
- b) Describe how RM-US global scheduling is able to circumvent Dhall's effect. (2 points)

The table below shows C_i (WCET) and T_i (period) for a task set with n=8 periodic tasks. The relative deadline of each periodic task is equal to its period. All tasks arrive at time t=0.

	C_i	T_i
$ au_1$	2	8
τ_2	2	5
τ_3	1	10
$ au_4$	3	120
$ au_5$	15	30
τ_6	60	600
$ au_7$	100	200
$ au_8$	2	16

- c) Determine the minimum number of processors needed to guarantee that all tasks in the task set given above are schedulable using RM-US global scheduling. (3 points)
- d) Determine a static-priority ordering of the tasks in the task set given above, assuming that RM-US global scheduling is used and that the number of available processors is equal to the minimum number of processors found in sub-problem c). (3 points)

$$n(2^{1/n}-1)$$

$$m(2^{1/2}-1)$$

$$\frac{m^2}{3m-2}$$

$$R_i^{n+1} = C_i + \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left\lceil \frac{R_i^n}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j$$

$$C_P(0,L) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L - D_i}{T_i} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) C_i$$

$$R_i^{n+1} = C_i + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\forall j \in hp(i)} \left(\left\lceil \frac{R_i^n}{T_j} \right\rceil \cdot C_j + C_j \right)$$

```
#include "TinyTimber.h"
typedef struct {
    Object super;
} TaskObj;
TaskObj A = { initObject() };
TaskObj B = { initObject() };
void T1(TaskObj *, int);
void T2(TaskObj *, int);
void T3(TaskObj *, int);
void T1(TaskObj *self, int u) {
    Action300(); // Do work for 300 microseconds
}
void T2(TaskObj *self, int u) {
    Action800(); // Do work for 800 microseconds
}
void T3(TaskObj *self, int u) {
    Action500(); // Do work for 500 microseconds
}
void BG(TaskObj *self, int u) {
    Load700(); // Do background work for 700 microseconds
}
void kickoff(TaskObj *self, int u) {
}
main() {
    return TINYTIMBER(&A, kickoff, 0);
```