

CHALMERS

EXAMINATION / TENTAMEN

Course code/ kurskod		Course name / kursnamn		
EDA387		Computer Networks		
Anonymous code Anonym kod		Examination date Tentamensdatum	Number of pages Antal blad	Grade Betyg
EDA387-16		2013-10-25	11	5

Solved task Behandlade uppgifter.	Points per task Poäng på uppgiften.	Observe: Areas with bold contour are to be completed by the teacher. Anmärkning: Rutor inom bred kontur ifylles av lärare.
No / nr		
1 X	12	
2 X	4	
3 X	5	
4 X	5	
5 X	5	
6 X	2	
7 X	5	
8 X	6	
9 X	12.5	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
Total examination points Summa poäng på tentamen	56.5	

EDA387-16

12

1a) The user wants to know the mail servers (MX resource records, specified in the first parameter of dig) of the domain chalmers.se (second parameter of dig command).

1b) The query is directed to ns1.chalmers.se, as indicated in the third parameter to dig.

1c) Yes, the queried server responds with two MX resource records in the answer section. In addition, the IP addresses of these two mail servers are provided in the additional section.

1d) The answer is authoritative, since the AA flag ("Authoritative Answer") is set (line 6 of output). Another indicator is the fact that the queried nameserver (ns1.chalmers.se) is also listed in the authority section of the answer.

1e) The answer section contains two resource records. The first one states that the host with the domain name "postum.ita.chalmers.se" is ~~an~~ ~~mail server~~ accepts incoming e-mails for the domain chalmers.se and that it has a priority preference value of 10. This resource record is allowed to be cached with a timeout of 172800 seconds.

TTL

2*

EDA387-16

1f) These numbers specify the ~~max~~ time in seconds, for which the corresponding resource records are allowed to be ~~et~~ cached by other name servers. It is used by ~~secondary~~ name servers to ~~et~~ cache obtained answers, which helps to reduce the load on ~~primary~~ authoritative name servers. |

1g) MX stands for Mail Exchange. Such a ~~resource~~ RR specifies the SMTP servers that accept incoming eMails for their domain.

NS stands for Name Server and defines usually an authoritative name server in the authority section.

A represents an ~~IP address~~ IPv4 address. It is used to map names to addresses.

AAAA is similar to A, but represents an IPv6 address. |

1h) The answering server has the IP address 129.16.2.40, as indicated in the footer. |

1i) The protocol is not explicitly stated, but for such small amounts of data DNS uses commonly UDP. As visible in the footer, port 53 is used by the server. |

EDA387-16

4

2a) The scope determines the "network boundary" of the communication to that address. The scope can for example be ~~global~~ or restricted to the same subnet (Link-Local).

* What is meant by?

2b) $2001::660:2:10::$ Is the prefix for a ~~global~~ unicast or anycast address with a global scope.

$FF02::$ Is the prefix for a multicast address with a Link-Local scope.

$FE80::$ is the prefix for a ~~link unicast~~ Link-Local address with a scope restricted to the same subnet. unicast

1

EDA387-16

5

4

3

3a) The link-local address ~~with~~, which is a unicast address with a link-local scope, is configured:

f.e. $80::a288:b4ff:fe5c:c774$

3b) The node will combine the prefix that is advertised by the router with its interface identifier:

$2001::06B0:2:10:A288:B4FF:FE5C:C774$

This is a global unicast address, which can be used to communicate with the Internet.

3c) IPv6 uses ICMPv6 messages ~~that are~~ and multicast to allow neighbor discovery, which replaces ARP.

A node sends via ICMPv6 a "neighbor solicitation" message to a special multicast group, in order to retrieve the link-layer address on another node. This will respond with a "neighbor advertisement" message, containing the requested address.

3

4a) Because of limited resources, an actual implementation of the algorithm would very likely use a bounded variable (for example a long integer) for the clock value. As self-stabilizing algorithms do not terminate, it might eventually happen that during a long execution of the system the boundary is reached ~~and~~ ^{the} variable wraps around to a negative or zero value.

4b) Yes. It is only necessary to change ~~the~~ the assignment in line 8 ~~to~~ to the following:

$$\text{clock}_i := (\text{max} + 1) \bmod ((n+1)d + 1)$$

Where d is the diameter of the communication graph.

Explanation:

With an induction over the distance from the processor having the maximum clock value, it can be shown that the algorithm needs d steps to converge to that value. This happens directly when this maximum value is at least d pulses below the upper bound, i.e. it does not wrap around before convergence. In the ~~other~~ other case, where it wraps around before convergence, it can be shown using the pigeonhole principle, that there are two values x, y which span an interval with a size of at least $d+1$, i.e. $y-x \geq d+1$. When this interval reaches the upper bound of values, it is guaranteed that no wrap-around occurs in at least $d+1$ pulses, allowing the algorithm to converge.

5)

Lemma 2.3: For every configuration there exists at least one integer j , such that for every processor p_i , the variable x_i is not equal to j .

Proof: We are going to show that there is one value, which can never be assigned to any register in a specific configuration. 5

We know that any configuration can at most store n unique values, as we have n processors with one register. We further know that the range of values in each register is bounded by $\text{mod}(n+1)$ in line 3 of the code. We see clearly that $n < n+1$ the number of registers is smaller than ~~the~~ their value range ($n < n+1$), which ^{according to} ~~by the application of~~ the pigeonhole principle means that even when all registers contain a different value, they can not cover the whole range of possible values and there is one value left, which does not show up in any registers x_i for any processor p_i in any configuration. \square

6a) We say that the configuration c is a safe configuration with respect to the set of legal executions, LE , if every system execution that starts from c is in the set of legal executions, LE .

6b) We say that execution R is in LE_{leader} if for every configuration in that execution it holds that there exists exactly one processor with the property of being a leader.

7a) A configuration for the shown algorithm is safe, if for every processor p_i , the value of $leader_i$ equals the ID of the processor with the smallest ID (p_{min}) and the value of dis_i is equal to the distance of p_i to p_{min} . Furthermore must the for any neighbor p_j the values of $leader_j$ and dis_j be equal to $leader_i$ and dis_i .

Starting from config.
7b) In configuration c , all neighbors of a processor p_i will put correct values in their leader and distance shared registers. At the end of the for loop, after evaluating the shared registers of all neighbors, p_i can only choose the same leader again, as all neighbors advertise the same leader (or p_i is the leader itself). For the distance, it chooses the minimal distance that is advertised by a neighbor + 1, which with an induction over the distance to the leader can be shown to yield the same value as before. As the values for $leader_i$ and dis_i stay the same in c and c' , c' is also a safe configuration.

5

EDA387-16

8 a) A safe configuration is defined by the following value of the variant function:

$$VF(n, a, b, c)$$

Such a configuration means that we have a maximal matching, i.e. all nodes are either matched or single, since the first value of $VF(c)$ is defined as the sum of the number of matched and single nodes ($n = m + s$). The given definitions of the states "matched" and "single" imply the conditions (1) and (2) in the question.

8 b) The if-statements do not evaluate to "true" in a safe configuration:

line 2: Is only executed for processors in state "free", which are not present in a safe configuration.

line 3: Is only executed for processors in state "free", which are not present in a safe configuration.

line 4: Is only executed for "changing" ^{and "waiting"} processors, which do not exist in a safe configuration.

Thus in all cases $VF(c) = VF(c')$.

1

18

9 a) It could result in process state corruption because of packets that belong to a previous connection.

~~Furthermore it can lead to a connection~~

(1.5)

Furthermore can it lead to an improper ~~&~~ reset of the connection when the second FIN in the 4-way-handshake is lost.

9 b) The number of file descriptors that a process or the whole system is allowed to open simultaneously is usually a hard limit. *How many?*

9 c) Congestion control in the Internet is done by ~~used~~ using an end-to-end method in the ~~the~~ transport layer, so using protocols like TCP or DCCP.

9 d) Congestion control involves understanding transport layer protocols. Routers do however only understand packets up to network layer, in order to reduce processing complexity.

9 e) TCP maintains a congestion window, which allows to reduce the ~~start~~ sliding window. ~~It is~~ It reacts on duplicate ACKs or timeouts with a multiplicative decrease of the window. Then a slow start and additive increase algorithm is used to approximate ~~&~~ the congestion window.

g.f) The mechanisms can cause timing differences
(³⁰ "Jitter") and slow connection starts.
Therefore buffering is used or ~~or~~ UDP is ~~used~~
~~in favor of TCP~~ preferred over TCP, as loss
of single packets might be more tolerable than
Jitter.