



CHALMERS

Course board meeting: Minutes

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| <i>Course name:</i> | EEN020 | <i>Programme owning the course:</i> | Systems, control and mechatronics, Master's programme |
| <i>Course code:</i> | Computer vision | <i>Department instructing the course:</i> | Electrial engineering |
| <i>Academic year:</i> | 2020/2021 | | |
| <i>Study period:</i> | SP2 | | |

Meeting participants: Programme representatives: Knut Åkesson (director of master's programme), Björn Friberg (director of studies)
Department representatives: Christopher Zach (examiner)
Student representatives: Therese Gardell (MPENM)

Date: 2021-02-22

Summary

Since the course received a low overall impression (< 3 out of 5) an action plan is required.

The course material was not ready for "distance learning" and required a lot of work to be useful which was unfortunate in combination with the late handover to Christopher.

Prerequisites and learning outcomes

The prerequisites seem to be OK among the students, but the survey results are a lot lower than previous year. There is a noticeable overlap with the Image analysis course. It would be beneficial to have a better synchronization with that course.

Learning, examination and course administration

There are frequent assignments, the same as previous years. And a slightly larger project. And a final exam for students striving for the highest grade. In the end only about 8 students took the final (oral) exam.

The students would prefer to see the lecturer during presentations (online lectures).

It was not always easy to connect the lecture notes/literature to the assignments. Some students confused complementary literature with the literature required to pass the course.

The grading seems to have been somewhat unclear. Students asked in the Canvas discussion forum about the grades and grading but received no answer there. This caused frustration. Grade limits should be visible in the course syllabus. The course administrations receive a lower score.

Work climate

The workload is perceived as higher than last year, but on a reasonable level. It was a little difficult to connect the literature to the assignments. The students would prefer to see the lecturer during presentations (online lectures). There was not much interaction on the lectures. More interaction overall is requested overall. The TA sessions were available, but many did not use these opportunities.

To keep for next course round

The assignments and project are liked.

Suggested changes

Discuss content/overlap with Image analysis course.

Grade limits should be visible in the course syllabus.

Other matters

-